

Tunneling into black hole, escape from black hole, reflection from horizon and pair creation

V.V.Flambaum*

School of Physics, University of New South Wales, Sydney 2052, Australia

(Dated: February 5, 2008)

Within classical general relativity, a particle cannot reach the horizon of a black hole during a finite time, in the reference frame of an external observer; a particle inside cannot escape from a black hole; and the horizon does not produce any reflection. We argue that these processes may possibly be allowed in the quantum world. It is known that quantum mechanics allows pair creation at the horizon (one particle inside, another particle outside) and Hawking radiation. One can extend this idea to propose other processes. Tunneling of an external particle inside black hole may be produced by the creation of a pair at the horizon, followed by the annihilation of one created particle with the initial particle outside, with the other created particle appearing inside. Escape of a particle from a black hole may result from the creation of a pair, followed by the annihilation of one created particle with the particle inside, with the other created particle appearing outside. The escape may allow the transfer of information to the outside. Finally, the reflection of an external particle from the horizon may be modelled by a combination of the two processes presented above. The relationship between these “pair creation-annihilation” mechanisms and the “horizon tunneling” calculations [1, 2, 3, 4, 5] is discussed.

PACS numbers: 04.70.Dy, 04.20.Gz

I. PAIR CREATION-ANNIHILATION MODEL

As is known, a classical particle cannot reach the horizon of a black hole during a finite time in the reference frame of an external observer. This seems to forbid any observable effects which can be induced at the horizon. For example, when the quantum scattering problem was considered for black holes, the Matzner boundary condition [6] – that there is no outgoing wave from the horizon (complete absorption) – was used. This makes the capture cross-section finite (proportional to the horizon area) in the limit of zero energy of the scattered particle [7, 8, 9] (see also [10, 11, 12, 13, 14]). However, recent works [1, 2, 3] claim that the horizon reflects low energy particles with probability $\exp(-\varepsilon/T)$ where ε is the particle energy, and T is the Hawking temperature of the black hole (for black holes with zero charge and angular momentum $T = \hbar c^3/8\pi GM$). This makes the absorption cross-section zero for $\varepsilon = 0$ [4]. This provokes a question: how can the “unreachable” horizon produce so strong an effect?

A naive answer to this question may refer to the uncertainty principle: if the wavelength of an approaching particle is larger than the horizon radius, one cannot say where the particle is located relative to the horizon. One may even compare this case with a parabolic barrier, $U = -kx^2$, for a particle with zero energy. A classical particle will climb this barrier only during an infinite time. Therefore, this is an impenetrable barrier for the incoming classical particle, similar to the black hole hori-

zon. A quantum particle can either easily penetrate this barrier or be reflected from it.

Another argument may be based on the phenomenon of pair creation and Hawking radiation near the horizon. A conventional explanation of the Hawking radiation tells us that a pair is created near the horizon. The positive energy particle escapes, and the negative energy particle dives inside the black hole, reducing its mass [15]. The Hawking radiation happens on a finite time scale, in spite of the fact that a classical particle cannot reach, or escape from, the horizon within a finite time.

Using this pair creation picture we can build a qualitative model which demonstrates that a particle can disappear from our world (into black hole) within a finite time, a particle can escape from a black hole within a finite time, and there may be a reflected wave from the black hole horizon.

Consider first an external particle approaching the horizon. Then a pair is created by the strong gravitational field near the horizon. If the horizon can produce a pair such that one particle is located at a finite distance after finite time, it can also annihilate a pair at a finite distance, which is just the reverse process. Therefore, the initial external particle can annihilate with one of the created particles (“virtual antiparticle”) within a finite time. The other particle of the pair will be inside the black hole. As a result, we have the initial particle disappearing from our world within a finite time, a process which is impossible for a classical particle. This means that the accretion of matter into a black hole may also happen during finite time, contrary to classical general relativity (although the accretion process may take a longer time than the evaporation of the black hole).

What factor determines the probability of this tunnel-

*Email: flambaum@phys.unsw.edu.au

ing process? The Hawking radiation is determined by the Boltzmann factor $\exp(-\varepsilon/T)$, where ε is the particle energy, and T is the Hawking temperature of the black hole. A somewhat similar factor should appear in the tunneling of an external particle, since this process can be modelled by pair creation and annihilation near the horizon (similar to the Hawking radiation which is due to the pair creations).

We can repeat this modelling for a particle inside a black hole, providing this inner particle has a positive energy and can tunnel into the outside world. The escape of a particle from a black hole may result from the creation of a pair, followed by annihilation of one created particle with the particle inside, and the other created particle appearing outside. Again, the probability should depend on $\exp(-\varepsilon/T)$. This process creates a particle outside the black hole, which is identical to the particle that was inside. Therefore it reveals information about the contents of the black hole. For example, we may conclude that the black hole contains matter and no antimatter. Thus, the escape process transfers information from the black hole outside.

Finally, we can model the reflection of an external particle from the horizon. A pair is created, one particle annihilates with the initial particle, the other particle plays the role of the reflected wave. If the horizon cannot produce two particles outside, we should consider the creation of two pairs followed by the annihilation of two particles created inside, i.e. the combination of two processes described above. Again, the probability should depend on $\exp(-\varepsilon/T)$.

We have considered these pair creation-annihilation models only to demonstrate the possibility of tunneling, escape, and reflection in principle. In the works [1, 2, 3, 4, 5] the quantitative results for these effects were obtained by solving the wave equation for a scalar particle in the gravitational field of a black hole. However, in spite of the completely different approach used in the present work, there is a certain similarity in the qualitative picture. The tunneling of an external particle into a black hole, the escape of an inner particle from a black hole, and the reflection from the horizon in [1, 2, 3, 4, 5] are all determined by the factor $\exp(-\varepsilon/T)$. For comparison, let us present briefly the derivation from Ref.[4], where the capture cross-section of a scalar particle by a black hole is calculated. Note that the works [1, 2, 3] contains also other methods of derivation of the reflection from the black hole horizon which give the same result for the reflection amplitude R .

II. SCALAR FIELD NEAR THE HORIZON OF THE SCHWARZSCHILD BLACK HOLE

Consider the scalar field $\phi(x)$ in the vicinity of the Schwarzschild black hole with the metric

$$ds^2 = -\left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{1 - 1/r} + r^2 d\Omega^2, \quad (2.1)$$

where $d\Omega^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2$. We use relativistic units $\hbar = c = 1$ and the condition $2GM = 1$, where G is the gravitational constant and M is the mass of the black hole. The Schwarzschild radius in these units is $r_g \equiv 2GM = 1$. The Klein-Gordon equation $-\partial_\mu(\sqrt{-g}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu\phi) = \sqrt{-g}m^2\phi$ for the field $\phi(x)$ in the Schwarzschild metric allows the separation of variables $\phi(x) = \exp(-i\varepsilon t)Y_{lm}(\theta, \varphi)\phi_l(r)$, where ε, l, m are the energy, the momentum and its projection. The radial function $\phi_l(r)$ satisfies the equation

$$\phi_l'' + \left(\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r-1}\right)\phi_l' + \left(p^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2 + p^2}{r-1} + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{(r-1)^2} - \frac{l(l+1)}{r(r-1)}\right)\phi_l = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

Here p is the momentum at infinity.

The solution for an incoming wave in the outside region in the close vicinity of the horizon is $\phi_{\text{in}}(r) = \exp[-i\varepsilon \ln(r-1)]$ for $r \rightarrow 1, r > 1$. We continue it into the interior region $r < 1$, using an analytical continuation over the variable r into the lower semiplane of the complex plane of r ($\ln(r-1) = \ln(1-r) - i\pi$). This procedure allows one to find the incoming wave in the interior region in the vicinity of the horizon, $r \rightarrow 1, r < 1$,

$$\phi_{\text{in}}(r) = |\mathcal{R}|^{1/2} \exp[-i\varepsilon \ln(1-r)]. \quad (2.3)$$

It is suppressed compared to the outside region by a factor $|\mathcal{R}|^{1/2}$ where $|\mathcal{R}| = \exp(-2\pi\varepsilon) = \exp[-\varepsilon/(2T)]$ and T is the Hawking temperature. We may interpret $|\mathcal{R}|$ as the probability of a particle tunneling into a black hole.

Continue now the incoming wave further into the interior region, $r < 1$, using the differential equation (2.2). In the vicinity of the origin, $r \rightarrow 0$, the solution can be presented as

$$\phi_{\text{in}}(r) = u \ln r + v, \quad (2.4)$$

where u, v are constants. We assume that the total wave function should satisfy the conventional regular condition $\phi(r) \rightarrow \text{const}$ at the origin. Since the incoming wave exhibits a singular ($\propto \ln r$) behavior, there should exist an outgoing wave that compensates the singularity in the vicinity of the origin. Thus, the regular solution is the standing wave.

Repeating now the arguments in the reverse order, we take this outgoing wave and continue it towards the horizon using the differential equation; then continue it over the horizon using the analytical continuation via the lower semiplane of the complex r -plane. As a result, the outgoing wave appears in the outside region as described by the second term in equation below:

$$\phi_l(r) \rightarrow \exp[-i\varepsilon \ln(r-1)] + \mathcal{R} \exp[i\varepsilon \ln(r-1)]. \quad (2.5)$$

Thus, the probability of the reflection is $P = |\mathcal{R}|^2 = \exp[-\varepsilon/T]$.

Here we see a certain similarity of the results with the pair creation-annihilation model considered in the first section. In both approaches the probabilities depend exponentially on ε/T .

III. CONCLUSION

The aim of this paper is to present some qualitative arguments that some of the famous conclusions of classical general relativity related to black holes may be, in principle, questioned by quantum mechanics. We considered a model where the tunneling, escape and reflection processes are mediated by the creation and annihilation of pairs at the black hole horizon. This model hints that a particle can disappear from our world into a black hole within a finite time. Indeed, it is known from the derivation of the Hawking radiation [15], that the creation of a

pair near the horizon (one particle outside a black hole, the other particle inside) happens on a finite time scale. Therefore, it would be natural if a quantum particle can cross the horizon within a finite time. Thus, the accretion of matter into a black hole may happen during a finite time, contrary to the classical general relativity.

Similarly, a particle captured inside a black hole can tunnel into the external world. This escape may transfer some information outside. For example, we may find that the black hole contains matter and no antimatter. The probability of the tunneling, escape, and reflection processes should depend on the Boltzmann factor $\exp(-\varepsilon/T)$, where ε is the particle energy, and T is the Hawking temperature.

The author is grateful to M. Kuchiev, Y. Augarten and J. Berengut for useful comments. This work was supported by the Australian Research Council.

-
- [1] M. Yu. Kuchiev, gr-qc/0310008.
 - [2] M. Yu. Kuchiev, Europhys. Lett. **65**, 445 (2004).
 - [3] M. Yu. Kuchiev, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 124031 (2004).
 - [4] M. Yu. Kuchiev and V. V. Flambaum, gr-qc/0312065; Phys. Rev. D, Scheduled 15 Aug (2004).
 - [5] M. Yu. Kuchiev and V. V. Flambaum, gr-qc/0407077.
 - [6] J. A. H. Futterman, F. A. Handler, and R. A. Matzner, *Scattering from black holes* (1988) Cambridge; New York: Cambridge University Press.
 - [7] A. A. Starobinsky, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **64**, 48 (1973) [Sov.Phys.JETP **37**, 28 (1973)].
 - [8] A. A. Starobinsky and C. M. Churilov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **65**, 3 (1973) [Sov.Phys.JETP **38**, 1 (1974)].
 - [9] W. G. Unruh, Phys. Rev. D **14**, 3251 (1976); thesis Princeton Univ., 1971 (unpublished) (available University Microfilms, Ann Arbor, Mich.).
 - [10] N. Sanchez, Phys. Rev. D **18**, 1030 (1977).
 - [11] N. Sanchez, hep-th/9711068.
 - [12] V. P. Frolov and I. D. Novikov, *Black hole physics: basic concepts and new developments* (1998) Dordrecht; Boston: Kluwer.
 - [13] K. S. Thorne, *Black hole and time warps* (1994) New York: Norton.
 - [14] S. Chandrasekhar, *The Mathematical Theory of Black Holes* (1993) New York: Oxford University Press.
 - [15] J. B. Hartle and S. W. Hawking, Phys. Rev. D **13**, 2188 (1976). S.W. Hawking, Phys. Rev. D **14**, 2460 (1976).